Is Everything in Order? A simple way to Order Sentences

Somnath Basu Roy Chowdhury* , Faeze Brahman* Snigdha Chaturvedi

EMNLP 2021











Motivation

Sentence Ordering Task:

 Organizing a shuffled set of sentences into a coherent text



Shuffled Input

I packed my raincoat.

The forecast called for rainy.

It never rained.

The weather is never predictable.

Instead it started to snow.

Motivation

Sentence Ordering Task:

 Organizing a shuffled set of sentences into a coherent text





Motivation

Sentence Ordering Task:

- Organizing a shuffled set of sentences into a coherent text
- Requires understanding of causal and temporal relations.
- Applications in NLG, QA, etc.



4



Prior Works

- 2018, Yin et al., 2019, 2020)
- Solving a ranking problem (Chen et. Al, 2016)
- Constraint solving + topological sorting (Prabhumoye et al., 2020)
- SOTA: Novel Pointer Decoder with Deep relational module (Cui et al., 2020)

• Pointer networks for Pairwise Ranking (Gong et al., 2016, Logeswaran et al., 2018a, Cui et al.,

Prior Works

- 2018, Yin et al., 2019, 2020)
- Solving a ranking problem (Chen et. Al, 2016)
- Constraint solving + topological sorting (Prabhumoye et al., 2020)
- SOTA: Novel Pointer Decoder with Deep relational module (Cui et al., 2020)

• Pointer networks for Pairwise Ranking (Gong et al., 2016, Logeswaran et al., 2018a, Cui et al.,

Prior Works

- 2018, Yin et al., 2019, 2020)
- Solving a ranking problem (Chen et. Al, 2016)
- Constraint solving + topological sorting (Prabhumoye et al., 2020)
- et al., 2020)

• Pointer networks for Pairwise Ranking (Gong et al., 2016, Logeswaran et al., 2018a, Cui et al.,

• SOTA: BERSON, proposed a novel Pointer Decoder with Deep relational module (Cui

• Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker generation problem



<S3> It never rained.

<S4> The weather is never predictable.

<S5> Instead it started to snow.

•Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker generation problem



•Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker generation problem



Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker generation problem



Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker generation problem



Solve the task as a conditional text-to-marker problem



What are the advantages of text-to-marker setup over text-to-text setup?

- Less susceptible to neural degeneration.
- Text-to-text often generate tokens that are not part of the input.

What are the advantages of text-to-marker setup over text-to-text setup?

- Less susceptible to neural degeneration.
- Text-to-text often generate tokens that are not part of the input.

Paper Abstracts

- NeurIPS
- AAN
- ACL
- NSF Research Awards
- arXiv

Datasets

Narratives

ROCStoris SIND Wikipedia Movie Plots

Evaluation Metrics

- Accuracy: The fraction of output sentence positions predicted correctly
- **Perfect Match Ratio:** The fraction of sentence orders exactly matching with the correct order
- Kendall's Tau: The correlations between predicted and gold order

$\tau = 1 - 2(7)$

$$\#investions) / \binom{n}{2}$$

Paper Abstracts

Paper Abstracts

Accuracy



Paper Abstracts

Accuracy



Paper Abstracts

Accuracy



Perfect Match Ratio



Paper Abstracts

Accuracy



Perfect Match Ratio

Paper Abstracts

Perfect Match Ration



HAN FUDecoder RankTxNet BERSON Re-Bart

Paper Abstracts

Perfect Match Ration



Kendall's Tau





How does text-to-text framework perform?



How does text-to-text framework perform?











How does text-to-text framework perform?



Results - BART vs T5

BART







■ text-to-text ■ text-to-marker

Results - BART vs T5



BART embeddings



T5 embeddings



Ablations

Analysis - Effect of Shuffling

Analysis - Effect of Shuffling

Degree of shuffling $d(S^*, S')$: Minimum number of swaps required to reconstruct ordered sequence S^* from shuffled input S'

 $\hat{d}(S^*, S') = \frac{d(S^*, S')}{|S^*|}$

Analysis - Effect of Shuffling

<u>Degree of shuffling</u> $d(S^*, S')$: Minimum number of swaps required to reconstruct ordered sequence S^* from shuffled input S'

 $d(S^*, S') =$



$$=\frac{d(S^*,S')}{|S^*|}$$

Analysis - Effect of Input Length

Analysis - Effect of Input Length

Investigate the effect on Re-BART's performance varies with change in number of input sentences in the sequence

Analysis - Effect of Input Length

Investigate the effect on Re-BART's performance varies with change in number of input sentences in the sequence



Analysis - Effect of Sentence Position

Analysis - Effect of Sentence Position

Investigate if Re-BART predict sentences at certain positions better than others

y

$$y_i = \frac{y_i}{|S|}$$

Analysis - Effect of Sentence Position

Investigate if Re-BART predict sentences at certain positions better than others

y



$$y^{rel} = \frac{y_i}{|S|}$$

Analysis - Prediction Displacement

Analysis - Prediction Displacement

For incorrect predictions Y, investigate how far it was from the correct prediction Y^* . Computed as the minimum number of swaps $d(Y, Y^*)$.

Analysis - Prediction Displacement

For incorrect predictions Y, investigate how far it was from the correct prediction Y^* . Computed as the minimum number of swaps $d(Y, Y^*)$.



Evaluate how Re-BART's performance varies based on shuffled sentence's distance from its original position. Relative distance from correct position

Evaluate how Re-BART's performance varies based on shuffled sentence's distance from its original position. Relative distance from correct position

 $\delta^{rel}(s_i) =$

$$\frac{|i-j|}{|S^*|} \text{ s.t. } s_i = s_j'$$

Evaluate how Re-BART's performance varies based on shuffled sentence's distance from its original position. Relative distance from correct position

 $\delta^{rel}(s_i) =$



Accuracy

$$\frac{|i-j|}{|S^*|} \text{ s.t. } s_i = s_j'$$

Cross-Attention Visualization

Cross-Attention Visualization



Cross-Attention Visualization



and introduce Re-BART.

★ We formulate Sentence Ordering as a conditional text generation task

- and introduce Re-BART.
- text framework as it reduces the prediction space

★ We formulate Sentence Ordering as a conditional text generation task

★ We show that our text-to-marker setup is better than a vanilla text-to-

- and introduce Re-BART.
- text framework as it reduces the prediction space
- ★ Re-BART achieves SOTA on 7 datasets and shows excellent performance in zero-shot setups

★ We formulate Sentence Ordering as a conditional text generation task

★ We show that our text-to-marker setup is better than a vanilla text-to-

- ★ We formulate Sentence Ordering as a conditional text generation task and introduce Re-BART.
- ★ We show that our text-to-marker setup is better than a vanilla text-to-text framework as it reduces the prediction space
- ★ Re-BART achieves SOTA on 7 datasets and shows excellent performance in zero-shot setups
- ★ Re-BART is sensitive to certain factors like degree of shuffling and number of input sentences

- ★ We formulate Sentence Ordering as a conditional text generation task and introduce Re-BART.
- ★ We show that our text-to-marker setup is better than a vanilla text-to-text framework as it reduces the prediction space
- ★ Re-BART achieves SOTA on 7 datasets and shows excellent performance in zero-shot setups
- ★ Re-BART is sensitive to certain factors like degree of shuffling and number of input sentences





