

# SELinux

Don Porter  
CSE 506

## MAC vs. DAC

- ✦ By default, Unix/Linux provides **Discretionary Access Control**
  - ✦ The user (subject) has discretion to set security policies (or not)
  - ✦ Example: I may 'chmod o+a' the file containing 506 grades, which violates university privacy policies
- ✦ **Mandatory Access Control** enforces a central policy on a system
  - ✦ Example: MAC policies can prohibit me from sharing 506 grades

## SELinux

- ✦ Like the Windows 2k ACLs, one key goal is enforcing the principle of least authority
  - ✦ No 'root' user
  - ✦ Several administrative roles with limited extra privileges
  - ✦ Example: Changing passwords does not require administrative access to printers
    - ✦ The principle of least authority says you should only give the minimum privilege needed
  - ✦ Reasoning: if 'passwd' is compromised (e.g., due to a buffer overflow), we should limit the scope of the damage

## SELinux

- ✦ Also like Win2k ACLs, a goal is to specify fine-grained access control permission to kernel objects
  - ✦ In service of principle of least authority
  - ✦ Read/write permissions are coarse
  - ✦ Lots of functions do more limited reads/write

## SELinux + MAC

- ✦ Unlike Win2k ACLs, MAC enforcement requires all policies to be specified by an administrator
  - ✦ Users cannot change these policies
- ✦ Multi-level security: Declassified, Secret, Top-Secret, etc.
  - ✦ In MLS, only a trusted declassifier can lower the secrecy of a file
  - ✦ Users with appropriate privilege can read classified files, but cannot output their contents to lower secrecy levels

## Example

- ✦ Suppose I want to read a secret file
- ✦ In SELinux, I transition to a secret role to do this
  - ✦ This role is restricted:
    - ✦ Cannot write to the network
    - ✦ Cannot write to declassified files
  - ✦ Secret files cannot be read in a declassified role
- ✦ Idea: Policies often require applications/users to give up some privileges (network) for others (access to secrets)

## General principles

- ✦ Secrecy (Bell-LaPadula)
  - ✦ No read up, no write down
  - ✦ In secret mode, you can't write a declassified file, or read top-secret data
- ✦ Integrity (Biba)
  - ✦ No write up, no read down
  - ✦ A declassified user can't write garbage into a secret file
  - ✦ A top-secret application can't read input/load libraries from an untrusted source (reduce risk of compromise)

## SELinux Policies

- ✦ Written by an administrator in a SELinux-specific language
  - ✦ Often written by an expert at Red Hat and installed wholesale
  - ✦ Difficult to modify or write from scratch
- ✦ Very expansive--covers all sorts of subjects, objects, and verbs

## Key Points of Interest

- ✦ Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)
- ✦ Type Enforcement
- ✦ Linux Security Modules (LSM)
  - ✦ Labeling and persistence

## Role-Based Access Control

- ✦ Idea: Extend or restrict user rights with a **role** that captures what they are trying to do
- ✦ Example: I may browse the web, grade labs, and administer a web server
  - ✦ Create a role for each, with different privileges
  - ✦ My grader role may not have network access, except to blackboard
  - ✦ My web browsing role may not have access to my home directory files
  - ✦ My admin role and web roles can't access students' labs

## Roles vs. Restricted Context

- ✦ Win2k ACLs allow a user to create processes with a subset of his/her privileges
- ✦ Roles provide the same functionality
  - ✦ But also allow a user to **add** privileges, such as administrative rights
- ✦ Roles may also have policy restrictions on who/when/how roles are changed
  - ✦ Not just anyone (or any program) can get admin privileges

## The power of RBAC

- ✦ Conditional access control
- ✦ Example: Don't let this file go out on the internet
  - ✦ Create secret file role
    - ✦ No network access, can't write any files except other secret files
    - ✦ Process cannot change roles, only exit
    - ✦ Process can read secret files
  - ✦ I challenge you to express this policy in Unix permissions!

## Roles vs. Specific Users

- ✦ Policies are hard to write
- ✦ Roles allow policies to be generalized
  - ✦ Users everywhere want similar restrictions on their browser
- ✦ Roles eliminate the need to re-tailor the policy file for every user
  - ✦ Anyone can transition to the browser role

## Type Enforcement

- ✦ Very much like the fine-grained ACLs we saw last time
- ✦ Rather than everything being a file, objects are given a more specific type
  - ✦ Type includes a set of possible actions on the object
    - ✦ E.g., Socket: create, listen, send, recv, close
  - ✦ Type includes ACLs based on roles

## Type examples

- ✦ Device types:
  - ✦ agp\_device\_t - AGP device (/dev/agpgart)
  - ✦ console\_device\_t - Console device (/dev/console)
  - ✦ mouse\_device\_t - Mouse (/dev/mouse)
- ✦ File types:
  - ✦ fs\_t - Defaults file type
  - ✦ etc\_aliases\_t - /etc/aliases and related files
  - ✦ bin\_t - Files in /bin

## More type examples

- ✦ Networking:
  - ✦ netif\_eth0\_t - Interface eth0
  - ✦ port\_t - TCP/IP port
  - ✦ tcp\_socket\_t - TCP socket
- ✦ /proc types
  - ✦ proc\_t - /proc and related files
  - ✦ sysctl\_t - /proc/sys and related files
  - ✦ sysctl\_fs\_t - /proc/sys/fs and related files

## Detailed example

- ✦ ping\_exec\_t type associated with ping binary
- ✦ Policies for ping\_exec\_t:
  - ✦ Restrict who can transition into ping\_t domain
    - ✦ Admins for sure, and init scripts
    - ✦ Regular users: admin can configure
  - ✦ ping\_t domain (executing process) allowed to:
    - ✦ Use shared libraries
    - ✦ Use the network
    - ✦ Call ypbind (for hostname lookup in YP/NIS)

## Ping cont.

- ✦ ping\_t domain process can also:
  - ✦ Read certain files in /etc
  - ✦ Create Unix socket streams
  - ✦ Create raw ICMP sockets + send/recv on them on any interface
  - ✦ setuid (Why? Don't know)
  - ✦ Access the terminal
  - ✦ Get file system attributes and search /var (mostly harmless operations that would pollute the logs if disallowed)
    - ✦ Violate least privilege to avoid modification!

## Full ping policy

```

01 type ping_t, domain, privilege;
02 type ping_exec_t, file_type, sysadmfile, exec_type;
03 role sysadm_r, types ping_t;
04 role system_r, types ping_t;
05
06 # Transition into this domain when you run this
07 # program.
07 domain_auto_trans(sysadm_r, ping_exec_t, ping_t)
08 domain_auto_trans(system_r, ping_exec_t, ping_t)
09
10 uses shlib(ping_t)
11 can_network(ping_t)
12 general_domain_access(ping_t)
13 allow ping_t, exec_t, resolv_conf_t, file { getattr
read };
14 allow ping_t, selfunix_stream_socket
create_socket_perms;
15
16 # Let ping create raw ICMP packets.
17 allow ping_t, selfrawip_socket { create ioctl read
write bind getopts setopts };
18 allow ping_t, any_socket_t, rawip_socket, sendto;
19
20 auditallow ping_t, any_socket_t, rawip_socket
sendto;
21
22 # Let ping receive ICMP replies.
23 allow ping_t { self icmp_socket_t } :rawip_socket
recvfrom;
24
25 # Use capabilities.
26 allow ping_t, self, capability { net_raw setuid };
27
28 # Access the terminal.
29 allow ping_t, admin, tty, type:chr, file
rw, file_perms;
30 ifsel( gnome-ptty-helper.te, 'allow ping_t
sysadm_gph, t,fd use;' )
31 allow ping_t, privfd,fd use;
32
33 dontaudit ping_t, fs_t, filesystem getattr;
34
35 # it tries to access /var/run
36 dontaudit ping_t, var_t,dir search;

```

## Linux Security Modules

- ✦ Culturally, top Linux developers care about writing a good kernel
- ✦ Not as much about security
- ✦ Different specializations
- ✦ Their goal: Modularize security as much as humanly possible
  - ✦ Security folks write modules that you can load if you care about security; kernel developers don't have to worry about understanding security

## Basic deal

- ✦ Linux Security Modules API:
  - ✦ Linux developers put dozens of access control hooks all over the kernel
    - ✦ See include/linux/security.h
  - ✦ LSM writer can implement access control functions called by these hooks that enforce arbitrary policies
  - ✦ Linux also adds opaque "security" pointer that LSM can use to store security info they need in processes, inodes, sockets, etc.

## SELinux example

- ✦ A task has an associated security pointer
  - ✦ Stores current role
- ✦ An inode also has a security pointer
  - ✦ Stores type and policy rules
- ✦ Initialization hooks for both called when created

## SELinux example, cont.

- ✦ A task reads the inode
  - ✦ VFS function calls LSM hook, with inode and task pointer
  - ✦ LSM reads policy rules from inode
- ✦ Suppose the file requires a role transition for read
  - ✦ LSM hook modifies task's security data to change its role
  - ✦ Then read allowed to proceed

## Problem: Persistence

- ✦ All of these security hooks are great for *in memory* data structures
  - ✦ E.g., VFS inodes
- ✦ How do you ensure the policy associated with a given file persists across reboots?

## Extended Attributes

- ✦ In addition to 9+ standard Unix attributes, associate a small key/value store with an on-disk inode
  - ✦ User can tag a file with arbitrary metadata
  - ✦ Key must be a string, prefixed with a domain
    - ✦ User, trusted, system, security
  - ✦ Users must use 'user' domain
  - ✦ LSM uses 'security' domain
- ✦ Only a few file systems support extended attributes
  - ✦ E.g., ext2/3/4; not NFS, FAT32

## Persistence

- ✦ All ACLs, type information, etc. are stored in extended attributes for persistence
- ✦ Each file must be *labeled* for MAC enforcement
  - ✦ Labeling is the generic problem of assigning a type or security context to each object/file in the system
  - ✦ Can be complicated
- ✦ SELinux provides some tools to help, based on standard system file names and educated guesses

## Summary

- ✦ SELinux augments Linux with a much more restrictive security model
  - ✦ MAC vs. DAC
- ✦ Understand Roles and Types
- ✦ Basic ideas of LSM
  - ✦ Labeling and extended attributes