


# Signals and Inter-Process Communication

Don Porter

# Housekeeping

- Paper reading assigned for next Thurs
  - (Class after midterm)

# Logical Diagram



## Last time...

- We've discussed how the OS schedules the CPU
  - And how to block a process on a resource (disk, network)
- Today:
  - How do processes block on each other?
  - And more generally communicate?


# Outline

- Signals
  - Overview and APIs
  - Handlers
  - Kernel-level delivery
  - Interrupted system calls
- Interprocess Communication (IPC)
  - Pipes and FIFOs
  - System V IPC
  - Windows Analogs

# What is a signal?

- Like an interrupt, but for applications
  - <64 numbers with specific meanings
  - A process can raise a signal to another process or thread
  - A process or thread registers a handler function
- For both IPC and delivery of hardware exceptions
  - Application-level handlers: divzero, segfaults, etc.
- No “message” beyond the signal was raised
  - And maybe a little metadata
    - PID of sender, faulting address, etc.
    - But platform-specific (non-portable)



# Example



```
int main() {  
    ...  
    signal(SIGUSR1, &usr_handler);  
    ...  
}
```

Register `usr_handler()` to handle `SIGUSR1`

# Example



```
int main() {  
    ...  
} kill(300, SIGUSR1);
```



A blue arrow with the text "PC" inside, pointing from the right towards the ellipsis in the `main` function.

```
int usr_handler() { ...
```

Send signal to PID 300



## Basic Model

- Application registers handlers with signal or sigaction
- Send signals with kill and friends
  - Or raised by hardware exception handlers in kernel
- Signal delivery jumps to signal handler
  - Irregular control flow, similar to an interrupt

# Signal Types

- See man 7 signal for the full list: (varies by sys/arch)
- SIGTSTP – 1 – Stop typed at terminal (Ctrl+Z)
- SIGKILL – 9 – Kill a process, for realzies
- SIGSEGV – 11 – Segmentation fault
- SIGPIPE – 13 – Broken pipe (write with no readers)
- SIGALRM – 14 – Timer
- SIGUSR1 – 10 – User-defined signal 1
- SIGCHLD – 17 – Child stopped or terminated
- SIGSTOP – 19 – Stop a process
- SIGCONT – 18 – Continue if stopped

# Language Exceptions

- Signals are the underlying mechanism for Exceptions and catch blocks
- JVM or other runtime system sets signal handlers
  - Signal handler causes execution to jump to the catch block

# Signal Handler Control Flow




Figure 11-2. Catching a signal

# Alternate Stacks

- Signal handlers execute on a different stack than program execution.
  - Why?
    - Safety: App can ensure stack is actually mapped
      - And avoid assumptions about application not using space below `rsp`
  - Set with `sigaltstack()` system call
- Like an interrupt handler, kernel pushes register state on interrupt stack
  - Return to kernel with `sigreturn()` system call
  - App can change its own on-stack register state!

# Nested Signals

- What happens when you get a signal in the signal handler?
- And why should you care?

# The Problem with Nesting

```

int main() {
    /* ... */
    signal(SIGINT, &handler);
    signal(SIGTERM, &handler);
    /* ... */
}

int handler() {
    free(buf1);
    free(buf2);
}
    
```

Double free!



Calls munmap()

Another signal delivered on return



# Nested Signals


- The original `signal()` specification was a total mess!
  - Now deprecated---do not use!
- New `sigaction()` API lets you specify this in detail
  - What signals are blocked (and delivered on `sigreturn`)
  - Similar to disabling hardware interrupts
- As you might guess, blocking system calls inside of a signal handler are only safe with careful use of `sigaction()`



# Application vs. Kernel

- App: signals appear to be delivered roughly immediately
- Kernel (lazy):
  - Send a signal == mark a pending signal in the task
    - And make runnable if blocked with `TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE` flag
  - Check pending signals on return from interrupt or syscall
    - Deliver if pending

# Example



```
int main() {
    read();
}
```

```
int usr_handler() { ...
```

Send signal to PID 300

# Interrupted System Calls

- If a system call blocks in the INTERRUPTIBLE state, a signal wakes it up
- Yet signals are delivered on *return* from a system call
- How is this resolved?
- The system call fails with a special error code
  - EINTR and friends
  - Many system calls transparently retry after sigreturn
  - Some do not – check for EINTR in your applications!

# Default handlers

- Signals have default handlers:
  - Ignore, kill, suspend, continue, dump core
  - These execute inside the kernel
- Installing a handler with `signal/sigaction` overrides the default
- A few (SIGKILL) cannot be overridden

# RT Signals

- Default signals are only in 2 states: signaled or not
  - If I send 2 SIGUSR1's to a process, only one may be delivered
  - If system is slow and I furiously hit Ctrl+C over and over, only one SIGINT delivered
- Real time (RT) signals keep a count
  - Deliver one signal for each one sent

# Signal Summary

- Abstraction like hardware interrupts
  - Some care must be taken to block other interrupts
  - Easy to write buggy handlers and miss EINTR
- Understand control flow from application and kernel perspective
- Understand basic APIs

## Other IPC

- Pipes, Sockets, and FIFOs
- System V IPC
- Windows comparison

# Pipes

- Stream of bytes between two processes
- Read and write like a file handle
  - But not anywhere in the hierarchical file system
  - And not persistent
  - And no cursor or seek()-ing
  - Actually, 2 handles: a read handle and a write handle
- Primarily used for parent/child communication
  - Parent creates a pipe, child inherits it



# Example

```
int pipe_fd[2];
int rv = pipe(pipe_fd);
int pid = fork();
if (pid == 0) {
    close(pipe_fd[1]); //Close unused write end
    dup2(pipe_fd[0], 0); // Make the read end stdin
    exec("grep", "quack");
} else {
    close (pipe_fd[0]); // Close unused read end ...
```

## FIFOs (aka Named Pipes)

- Existing pipes can't be opened---only inherited
  - Or passed over a Unix Domain Socket (beyond today's lec)
- FIFOs, or Named Pipes, add an interface for opening existing pipes

# Sockets

- Similar to pipes, except for network connections
- Setup and connection management is a bit trickier
  - A topic for another day (or class)

# Select

- What if I want to block until one of several handles has data ready to read?
- Read will block on one handle, but perhaps miss data on a second...
- Select will block a process until a handle has data available
  - Useful for applications that use pipes, sockets, etc.

# Synthesis Example: The Shell

- Almost all ‘commands’ are really binaries
  - /bin/l`s`
- Key abstraction: Redirection over pipes
  - ‘>’, ‘<’, and ‘|’ implemented by the shell itself

# Shell Example

- Ex: `ls | grep foo`
- Implementation sketch:
  - Shell parses the entire string
  - Sets up chain of pipes
  - Forks and exec's 'ls' and 'grep' separately
  - Wait on output from 'grep', print to console

# Job control in a shell

- Shell keeps its own “scheduler” for background processes
- How to:
  - Put a process in the background?
    - SIGTSTP handler catches Ctrl-Z
    - Send SIGSTOP to current foreground child
  - Resume execution (fg)?
    - Send SIGCONT to paused child, use waitpid() to block until finished
  - Execute in background (bg)?
    - Send SIGCONT to paused child, but block on terminal input

## Other hints

- `Splice()`, `tee()`, and similar calls are useful for connecting pipes together
  - Avoids copying data into and out-of application



# System V IPC

- Semaphores – Lock
- Message Queues – Like a mail box, “small” messages
- Shared Memory – particularly useful
  - A region of non-COW anonymous memory
  - Map at a given address using `shmat()`
- Can persist longer than an application
  - Must be explicitly deleted
  - Can leak at system level
  - But cleared after a reboot

# System V Keys and IDs

- Programmers pick arbitrary 32-bit keys
  - Use these keys to name shared abstractions
- Find a key using `shmget()`, `msgget()`, etc.
  - Kernel internally maps key to a 32-bit ID

# Windows Comparison

- Hardware exceptions are treated separately from IPC
  - Upcalls to ntdll.dll (libc equivalent), to call handlers
- All IPC types can be represented as handles
  - Process termination/suspend/resume signaled with process handles
  - Signals can be an Event handle
  - Semaphores and Mutexes have handles
  - Shared memory equally complicated (but still handles)
- Single select()-like API to wait on a handle to be signaled

# Summary

- Understand signals
- Understand high-level properties of pipes and other Unix IPC abstractions
  - High-level comparison with Windows