

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Access Control Lists

Don Porter

1

1

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Background (1)

- If everything in Unix is a file...
 - Everything in Windows is an object
- Why not files?
 - Not all OS abstractions make sense as a file
- Examples:
 - Eject button on an optical drive
 - Network card

2

2

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Windows object model

- Everything, including files, is represented as a generic OS object
- New object types can be created/extended with arbitrary methods beyond just open/read/write/etc.
- Objects are organized into a tree-like hierarchy
- Try out Windows object explorer (winobj)
 - Sysinternals.net

3

3

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Background (2)

- A big goal for Windows NT and 2000 was centralizing workstation administration at companies/etc.
 - Create a user account once, can log onto all systems
 - Vs. creating different accounts on 100s of systems
- Active Directory: a Domain server that stores user accounts for the domain
 - Log on to a workstation using an AD account
 - Ex: CS\porter – Domain CS, user id porter
 - Used by CS department today, centralizes user management

4

4

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Active Directory

- Centralized store of users, printers, workstations, etc.
- Each machine caches this info as needed
 - Ex., once you log in, the machine caches your credentials

5

5

THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Big Picture

- OSes need a “language” to express what is allowed and what isn’t
- Access Control Lists are a common way to do this
- Structure: “Allowed|Denied: Subject Verb Object”

6

6

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Unix permissions as ACLs

`-rw-----@ 1 porter staff 151841 Nov 10 08:45 win2kacl.pdf`

- Allowed | Denied: Subject Verb Object
- Allowed: porter read win2kacl.pdf
- Allowed: porter write win2kacl.pdf
- Denied: staff read win2kacl.pdf
- Denied: other * win2kacl.pdf

7

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Fine-grained ACLs

- Why have subjects other than users/groups?
 - Not all of my programs are equally trusted
 - Web browser vs. tax returns
 - Want to run some applications in a restricted context
- Still want a unified desktop and file system
 - Don't want to log out and log in for different applications
- Real goal: Associate a restricted context with a program

8

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Why different verbs/objects

- Aren't read, write, and execute good enough?
- Example: Changing passwords
 - Yes, you read and write the password file
 - But not directly (since I shouldn't be able to change other passwords)
 - Really, the administrator gives a trusted utility/service permission to write entries
 - And gives you permission to call a specific service function (change password) with certain arguments (namely your own user id/pass)

9

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Fine-grained access control lists

- Keep user accounts and associated permissions
 - But let users create restricted subsets of their permissions
- In addition to files, associate ACLs with any object
 - ACLs can be very long, with different rules for each user/context
- And not just RWX rules
 - But any object method can have different rules

10

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Big picture

- ACLs are written in terms of enterprise-wide principals
 - Users in AD
 - Objects that may be system local or on a shared file system
 - Object types and verbs usually in AD as well
- ACLs are associated with a specific object, such as a file

11

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA @ CHAPEL HILL **COMP 790: OS Implementation**

Complete!

- Assertion: Any security policy you can imagine can be expressed using ACLs
 - Probably correct
- Challenges:
 - Correct enforcement of ACLs
 - Efficient enforcement of ACLs
 - Updating ACLs
 - Correctly writing the policies/ACLs in the first place

12

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Correct enforcement

- Strategy: All policies are evaluated by a single function
- Implement the evaluation function once
 - Audit, test, audit, test until you are sure it looks ok
- Keep the job tractable by restricting the input types
- All policies, verbs, etc. have to be expressed in a way that a single function can understand
 - Shifts some work to application developer

13

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Efficient enforcement

- Evaluating a single object's ACL is no big deal
- When context matters, the amount of work grows substantially
- Example: The Linux VFS checks permission starting at the current directory (or common parent), and traverses each file in the tree
 - Why?
 - To check the permissions that you should be allowed to find this file

14

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Efficiency

- In addition to the file system, other container objects create a hierarchy in Windows
- Trade-off: Either check permissions from top-down on the entire hierarchy, or propagate updates
 - Linux: top-down traversal
 - Alternative: `chmod o-w /home/porter`
 - Walk each file under `/home/porter` and also drop other's write permission

15

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Efficiency, cont

- AD decided the propagating updates was more efficient
- Intuition: Access checks are much more frequent than changes
 - Better to make the common case fast!

16

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Harder than it looks

```
# ls /home/porter
drwxr-xr--x  porter porter 4096 porter
chmod o+r /home/porter/public
# chmod o-r porter
# ls /home/porter
drwxr-x--x  porter po
```

Recursively change all children to o-r.
But do you change public?

17


THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

COMP 790: OS Implementation

Issues with propagating

- Need to distinguish between explicit and inherited changes to the child's permissions when propagating
 - Ex 1: If I take away read permission to my home directory, distinguish those files with an explicit read permission from those just inheriting from the parent
 - Ex 2: If I want to prevent the administrator from reading a file, make sure the administrator can't countermand this by changing the ACL on `/home`


18


THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL
COMP 790: OS Implementation

AD's propagation solution

- When an ACL is explicitly changed, mark it as such
 - Vs. inherited permissions
- When propagating, delete and reapply inherited permissions
 - Leave explicit ACLs alone


19


THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL
COMP 790: OS Implementation

Challenge: Policies to ACLs

- Assertion: Translating policies to ACLs is hard
- Hard to:
 - Express some policies as ACLs
 - Write the precise ACL you want
 - Identify all objects that you want to restrict
- Much research around developing policy languages that better balance: human usability and implementation correctness
 - This system strongly favors implementation correctness


20


THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL
COMP 790: OS Implementation

Example Policy

- “Don't let this file leave the computer”
- Ideas?
 - Create a restricted process context that disables network access
 - Only give read permission to this context
- But, what if this process writes the contents to a new file? Or over IPC to an unrestricted process?
 - Does the ACL propagate with all output?
 - If so, what if the program has a legitimate need to access other data?

21


THE UNIVERSITY
of NORTH CAROLINA
at CHAPEL HILL
COMP 790: OS Implementation

Summary

- Basic idea of ACL
- How it is used in Windows/AD
 - How extended for fine granularity
- Challenges with hierarchical enforcement, writing policies

22